



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

27717 7590 03/09/2006 EXAMINER SEYFARTH SHAW LLP 55 E. MONROE STREET	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
SEYFARTH SHAW LLP 55 E. MONROE STREET APPLICATION AP	10/644,179	08/20/2003	David T. Ross	457390	5706	
55 E. MONROE STREET	27717 75	7590 03/09/2006		EXAMINER		
ADTIBIT	SEYFARTH SHAW LLP			TRAN, HANH VAN		
SUITE 4200		DE STREET		ARTINIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		CHICAGO, IL 60603-5803			3637	
CHICAGO, IL 00003-3803	CHICAGO, IL 60003-3803			DATE MAILED: 03/09/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/644,179	ROSS, DAVID T.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Hanh V. Tran	3637					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the application to become ABANDONE	l. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1955 C.D. 11, 45	5 O.G. 215.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 19 is/are withdrawn for 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-18 and 20-22 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers	rom consideration. r election requirement.						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 24 November 2003 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	re: a) \square accepted or b) \boxtimes objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is object.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	amilier. Note the attached Office	Action of form F10-132.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/26/04.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:						

Art Unit: 3637

DETAILED ACTION

1. This is the First Office Action on the Merits from the examiner in charge of this application in response to applicant's election filed on 2/13/2006.

Election/Restrictions

- 2. Applicant's election without traverse of Species I, figures 2-5 in the reply filed on 2/13/2006 is acknowledged.
- 3. Claim 19 is withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected Species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on 2/13/2006.

Drawings

4. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description: 30, 101. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filling date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

5. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the limitation in claims 5 and 14 of "a second projection on the wall and having a base portion extending rearwardly from the rear side wall and an attachment portion integral with the base portion of the second projection" must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). Basically, the drawings fail to show first and second projections on the wall each having a base portion and an attachment portion as claimed. No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Art Unit: 3637

Specification

6. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: page 5, line 5. "drawer 40" should be "drawer front 40".

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Objections

7. Claim 4 is objected to because of the following informalities: line 2, "parallel to the second plane" should be "parallel to a second plane". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 8. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 9. Claims 11-18, and 20-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In each of the independent claims, the term "and/or" is vague, thus indefinite for failing to clearly define the metes and bounds of the claimed invention. In claims 16 & 17, (1) the term "the attachment portion" is vague, thus indefinite for failing to clearly define whether it is the attachment portion of the mounting structure or the attachment portion of the front panel wall, and (2) the limitation of the attachment portion including "a folded-under portion" is vague, thus indefinite for failing to clearly define the metes and bounds of the claimed invention, since it is not clear what is a folded-under portion.

Art Unit: 3637

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

10. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 11. Claims 1-7, 9-12, 14-18, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by USP 2,842,420 to Hansen et al.

Hansen et al discloses a drawer comprising all the elements recited in the above listed claims including, such as shown in Fig 1, a drawer body including a bottom wall and a peripheral wall structure 11-12 extending upwardly from the bottom wall, mounting structure on the peripheral wall structure and including a base portion projecting forwardly from the peripheral wall structure and an attachment portion projecting from the base portion and extending above and below the base portion, a front panel 10 having an elongated panel wall having a front side and a rear side, first and second projections on the panel wall each having a base portion extending rearwardly from the rear side of the panel wall and an attachment portion integral with the base portion and extending therefrom along the rear side of the panel wall for cooperation with the rear side of the panel wall to define a channel therebetween in opposite directions, the attachment portion of the mounting structure being slidably receivable in the channel of the front panel 10, the peripheral wall structure including two side walls 11-12, the mounting structure including portions projecting from each side wall 11-12; wherein the projection is substantially T-shaped in transverse cross-

Page 6

section, the drawer pull projects forwardly of the wall and extends the entire length thereof.

12. Claims 1-3, 8, and 11-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by USP 5,161,870 to Mason et al.

Mason et al discloses a drawer comprising all the elements recited in the above listed claims including, such as shown in Figs 1-2, a drawer body including a bottom wall 12 and a peripheral wall structure 14 extending upwardly from the bottom wall, mounting structure, such as shown in Fig 2, on the peripheral wall structure and including a base portion projecting forwardly from the peripheral wall structure and an attachment portion 34 projecting from the base portion and extending above the base portion, a front panel 20 having an elongated panel wall having a front side and a rear side, a projection on the panel wall each having a base portion extending rearwardly from the rear side of the panel wall and an attachment portion 48 integral with the base portion and extending therefrom along the rear side of the panel wall for cooperation with the rear side of the panel wall to define a channel therebetween; wherein the attachment portion 48 extends substantially parallel to a facing portion of the rear side of the wall, the channel extends the entire length of the wall, and the front panel is crimped to the mounting structure.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 13. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 14. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 15. Claims 21-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mason et al.

Mason et al discloses all the structural elements recited in the above listed claims as discussed in paragraph #12. The differences being that Mason et al does not disclose the method of making the drawer as recited in claims 21 and 22. However, since Mason et al discloses all the structural limitations recited therein, it would have been obvious and well within the level of one skill in the art to perform the method steps recited in claims 21-22.

Conclusion

16. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Lin, Doan et al, Domenig, van der Heiden et al; Courtwright, Ohnstrand, Bauer, Kohler, and Taplin all show structures similar to various elements of applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hanh V. Tran whose telephone number is (571) 272-

Art Unit: 3637

6868. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, and alternate Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lanna Mai can be reached on (571) 272-6867. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

HVT

March 6, 2006

Hanh V. Tran
Art Unit 3637